

THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE
CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

convenes

WORKING GROUP MEETING

ADVISORY BOARD ON
RADIATION AND WORKER HEALTH

WORKER OUTREACH

The verbatim transcript of the Work Group
Meeting of the Advisory Board on Radiation and
Worker Health held at the Marriott Airport Hotel,
Cincinnati, Ohio, on Feb. 1, 2008.

STEVEN RAY GREEN AND ASSOCIATES
NATIONALLY CERTIFIED COURT REPORTERS
404/733-6070

Handwritten notes on the left margin:
2/11
posted
doc
to panel

1 Marietta concerning their lab practices. They
2 admit they were falsifying, that the database
3 was irrefutably corrupted. But I don't know
4 how much more data we've got to provide.

5 I believe it was Wanda that made the
6 exclamation about vertical and horizontal
7 investigations. What I see on X and Y axes
8 (sic) is that you have intersects, and at
9 points you can see, and if you have the
10 documents that show it, and that people are
11 getting sick. Those should be the intersects
12 that you should be looking at if you have
13 practices at these sites.

14 I mean, how could you have such a
15 difference in information at Portsmouth, the
16 site profiles went away saying that we had 3.5
17 assay when, in fact, we were working with 97
18 percent assay, and we have given them that
19 information. What I don't see out of your
20 groups is you have working groups, but there's
21 no clearing of information that once hard
22 documents or the people from different locales
23 that represent specific areas like Terrie
24 Barrie that you set down to clear the
25 information between information that you have

1 that you can work with, clear it out and to
2 settle these incidences once and for all.

3 There's no effort to clear them. It
4 just keeps going on and on and on, and it's
5 like a go get me another rock. That's not the
6 right rock. I can show you -- if you look in
7 the Senate testimony of 2000, you can see that
8 I identified that document there. No one has
9 ever done a proper investigation. From the
10 Senate, I've told ^{Cong. J. A. W.} Gene Schmidt. I've told
11 Senator Voinovich. I told Governor
12 Strickland.

13 No one has done a proper investigation
14 in behalf of the workers, and that's what
15 everyone is expecting of this program. And I
16 think if that's what they're expecting they're
17 going to be disappointed because it doesn't
18 look like they are directed towards doing any
19 clearing of information and compiling of
20 documents that prove the allegations that we
21 say, hard documents.

22 Like I said just one comment was made
23 that SC&A had to go through DOE. We have
24 documents that show that DOE knew what was
25 going on at Portsmouth. So SC&A's going

1 through DOE. How does that work? If they
2 become a perpetrator of information and
3 withholding information, how is it that they
4 are the final say? That's what I have to say.

5 **MR. ELLIOTT:** Jeff, this is Larry Elliott.
6 I'd like to respond to a couple points you
7 made there. And for the record the folks
8 around this table know that we did, in fact,
9 respond to with our position and our review of
10 the information that you had provided to us
11 before, I guess this is back in 2004, I
12 believe. So we're on the record with you and
13 Mr. Boone who was the Guards representative,
14 union representative --

15 **MR. WALBURN (by Telephone):** Mr. Dave Burrow
16 (ph) and Mr. Greg Bocook (ph) was at that
17 meeting. You and Larry Elliott -- excuse me,
18 you and Dr. Neton were at that meeting. Your
19 comment was that there was a conspiracy. And
20 Dr. Neton's comments was that it was criminal.
21 I didn't bait you to say that. Those were the
22 --

23 **MR. ELLIOTT:** We did say that. We did say
24 that, but we also said that it's not within
25 the purview of this program. We do not have

1 the authority to institute an investigation of
2 criminal activity, and we advised you such.
3 We've also documented our position of
4 reviewing the information you provided in a
5 letter to you all. That's my comment on that.

6 The other comment I'd like to offer is
7 when Dr. Ziemer, I think he misspoke. He made
8 a commitment that he couldn't follow through
9 with. We can't post all of that information
10 that you provided on the website just because
11 you wanted it placed there. And Dr. Ziemer
12 inadvertently made a commitment to you that it
13 would be done. That is just not going to
14 happen and for a variety of reasons. There's
15 some information there that cannot be posted.
16 Some information would have to be reviewed and
17 redacted for posting, and then it has to be
18 tied to a specific effort, review effort,
19 action effort that we have underway, an SEC
20 petition review or a site profile development
21 review. So just to clarify that point.

22 **MR. WALBURN (by Telephone):** I'd like to
23 respond to that. That 41-page report, the
24 POEF report, if you take every name and title
25 out of it, it still says that the database was

1 irretrievably corrupted. And then the
2 corresponding documents we provided to SC&A
3 shows that the very regulatory agencies of DOE
4 knew that, but they didn't act on it.

5 And then the information gets buried
6 at the site, and it took me -- information
7 wasn't given to me by DOE, it was on the site,
8 and it was in their records file. And when I
9 filed a third-party subpoena, that's how I got
10 to view it. No one had been forthcoming even
11 when DOE was elected by Senator Thompson in
12 2000 to do an investigation. They were quite
13 aware of what had happened in 2000. They're
14 aware now.

15 The fact you don't have a mission to
16 find out these criminal things when they are
17 found out that seems like that casts a shadow
18 over the investigations and that's not
19 followed up on. And, I mean, like I said, I
20 didn't make the documents. The documents come
21 from the site. The allegation when you lay
22 the documents end to end, the allegation forms
23 on timeline. It's not me saying it. They
24 very documents say it.

25 **MR. ELLIOTT:** Thank you for your comments.

1 **MR. WALBURN (by Telephone):** Thank you.

2 **DR. MAKHIJANI:** Kathy, do you want to
3 clarify anything in terms of the status of
4 SC&A's review and use of information from
5 Piketon?

6 **MS. DeMERS (by Telephone):** Do you mean as
7 far as the report?

8 **DR. MAKHIJANI:** Yeah, the report and the use
9 of the interview information.

10 **MS. DeMERS (by Telephone):** The report has,
11 John knows the status of the report itself.
12 The summary of the --

13 **DR. MAURO (by Telephone):** The report has
14 not yet been delivered. It's been run through
15 DOE clearance review, and it's going through,
16 as we speak, PA review and final editing. So
17 we're in the home stretch. But, of course,
18 your attachment, the interviews, is part of
19 that report.

20 **MS. DeMERS (by Telephone):** Yes.

21 **MR. GIBSON:** Will you keep us updated on the
22 status of that report, John?

23 **DR. MAURO (by Telephone):** Oh, we're very,
24 very close to delivering it to the entire
25 Board. I spoke to the technical editor

DDC News Provided to
Panel

The following points are 100% proveable with supporting documentation.

1. Conspiracy to commit Fraud

(EEOICPA, DOE, NRC, USEC, and Lockheed Martin)

The information herein affects current EEOICPA Dose Re-creation. Organized falsification of data is being used to deny benefits to workers guaranteed by Law. Monies are being received to process criminally falsified data and with full knowledge.

2. The I.G. of DOE Gregory Friedman, is knowingly lying to Elected Officials .

(See latest Senator Rand Paul letter)

3. USEC Lied to Senate Committee in Hearings of March 22, 2000.

(See Senator Voinovich letter initiating investigation.
Complete POEF 150-96-0088 February 16, 1996 VS.
Altered POEF report provided to Senate with Talking
Points and Supporting Letter from DOE Liason to

Congressional from USEC Emery Smith (note: Smith's name appears on page "41" of original complete POEF Report).

4. NRC was aware of falsified dosimetry badges in 1996 and again in 2005

(See Lawson submission to DOE, Curt Jackson; DOE Regulatory Oversight, referencing Dale Allen Lockheed Martin , Lee Fink and John Adams USEC, Charle Cox NRC Site Director. Also see Walburn submission to NRC HDQS.).

5. Ed Wagner's Neutron Calculations VS. Catherine Demers' SC&A

(Ed Wagners calculations based figures on Material Handlers working 3 HRS. per week. Wagner calculed that the Material Handlers would only receive .75 mrem of Neutron Dose per year to "negate" monitoring workers for Neutrons. On the coverse, Demuers' used Wagners calculations on multiples of 5 cylinders /10 cylinders /and 30 cylinders , described as 5"(inch) Uranium bearing cylinders , proving that Wagners figures were expotentially

raised as the cylinder number increased and that workers "should have" been receiving Neutron Monitoring.

6. Destruction of ; Glow Curves, Hard Copy Documents (calculations sheets / investigations), and Archive Tapes. Lockheed engaged in direct destruction of these documents and DOE had direct knowlege of this , but failed to act. (Lawson personally contacted Gene Gillispie, John Orson, and Paulette Williamson of DOE to halt this destruction as it took place. Herman Potter of now USW directly contacted Lawson and told Lawson as the destrucion was taking place . He later imparted to Walburn as well, that two of his "Hourly Workers," engaged in the above destruction of all records as directed by Sandy Fout of Lockheed Martin Supervision. The above activity was not "new" as a method and is mentioned under "Goodyear Atomic in a 1979 John Bransford letter to Raphael Moure. where Bransford also admits in personal letter to "not being forthcoming to the Kennedy Commission." See POEF , Cardarelli Report and John Bransford Letter.

7. Changing Dosimeter Badges that read above 2 REM,

Bucket Dose, using Background Badges to artificially lower "dose". Sabatoge of "Seal Alarms, Argon Gammagraph Alarms, and Criticality Alarms. (See Rumble Report, Tiger Team Report, and John Cardarelli HETA Report.)

8. DOL (OSHA / NIOSH / EEOICPA has been informed of Criminality. NIOSH Officials have admitted that there is a Criminal Conspiracy at the "Piketon Facility" (Dr. Neton, Larry Elliott. and Steven Weitz), yet the EEOICPA continues to take money and to use" falsified records."

9. Lawson and Walburns 's lives have been threatened as well as them being hurrassed/ forced off of their jobs. Lawson's official position was Union Safety Officer and "OSHA Trained and Certified Investigator," in the performance of his recognized duties.

10. The DOL has stated that they are turning this matter over to the DOL I.G. division of Labor Racketeering, and Fraud investigations.